

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/625,787	Applicant(s) KLEIN, ROBERT C.	
	Examiner Magid Y. Dimyan	Art Unit 2825	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Examiner Magid Y. Dimyan.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Joseph Helmsen (Reg. No. 54163).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 March 2006

Time: 9:30 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Last Office Action

Claims discussed:

ALL

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.


- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Handwritten signature/initials

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Mr. Helmsen that a response to the Office Action was due on or before March 06, 2006. Mr. Helmsen indicated that he is no longer representing Applicant, and does not know who is currently representing Applicant.. The USPTO has not received a new Power of Attorney notice or a timely response to the Office Action or appropriate fees. Thus, this Application is considered Abandoned..


JACK CHIANG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER